

Borel type bounds for the self-avoiding walk connective constant

B. T. Graham

DMA–École Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d’Ulm
75230 Paris Cedex 5, France
graham@dma.ens.fr

November 26, 2009

Abstract

Let μ be the self-avoiding walk connective constant on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We show that the asymptotic expansion for $\beta_c = 1/\mu$ in powers of $1/(2d)$ satisfies Borel type bounds. This supports the conjecture that the expansion is Borel summable.

Keywords Self-avoiding walk, Lace expansion, asymptotic series, Borel summability.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60K35; 82B41.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z}^d$ denote the hypercubic lattice, with nearest neighbour edges. A self-avoiding walk of length n is a sequence of points $\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $|\omega_i - \omega_{i+1}| = 1$ and for $i \neq j$, $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$. Let c_n denote the number of self-avoiding walks, up to translation invariance, of length n on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. It is well known that the limit $\mu(d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^{1/n}$ exists; the limit is called the connective constant. Fisher and Gaunt calculated that [2]

$$\mu = 2d - 1 - 1/(2d) - 3/(2d)^2 - 16/(2d)^3 - 102/(2d)^4 - \dots$$

However, it is not clear from their calculation that the expansion can be continued to higher orders. Moreover, in low dimensions numerical extrapolation techniques are needed to use the expansion to estimate μ .

The self-avoiding walk is most easily understood in high dimensions. As $d \rightarrow \infty$, paths with large loops become relatively rare. It is therefore useful to consider a walk with only local self-avoidance. Say that $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n$ is a memory- τ self-avoiding walk if $\omega(i) \neq \omega(j)$ for $0 < |i - j| \leq \tau$. Let $c_n^{(\tau)}$ denote the number of n -step memory- τ walks, up to translation invariance, and let $\mu_\tau(d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_n^{(\tau)})^{1/n}$. Using memory-4 self-avoiding walks as a starting point (taking into account loops of size 2 and 4) Kesten showed that [7]

$$\mu(d) = 2d - 1 - 1/(2d) + O(1/d^2).$$

The lace expansion is a powerful technique for exploring the properties of the self-avoiding walk in dimensions $d > 4$; we refer the reader to [8] for a recent

introduction. We will look at the quantity $\beta_c = 1/\mu(d)$; β_c is the radius of convergence of the Lace expansion generating function. For convenience, let $s = 1/(2d)$. A series expansion exists for β_c in powers of s [6]

$$\beta_c(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n s^n = s + s^2 + 2s^3 + 6s^4 + 27s^5 + 157s^6 + \dots$$

Expansions in powers of $1/d$ have been developed for many other models in statistical physics, such as the Ising model [2], percolation [3], lattice animals and the n -vector model [4]. Finding the coefficients of the expansion is normally computationally intensive. It is often even more difficult to determine the basic properties of the expansion. What is the radius of convergence? Is it an asymptotic expansion? Can the expansion be interpreted as a Borel sum?

In the case of the self-avoiding walk it is not known, but it is widely believed, that the radius of convergence is zero. Using the Lace expansion, it has been shown that the series expansion is asymptotic [6]. We will show that the partial sums satisfy Borel type bounds. Borel summability raises the prospect of calculating β_c from the series expansion even if the radius of convergence is zero.

Theorem 1.1. *There exist a constant C_1 such that for all d ,*

$$\left| \beta_c(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} \alpha_n s^n \right| \leq C_1^M s^M M!, \quad M = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

The motivation for Theorem 1.1 is discussed in Section 2. In Section 3, we use the Lace expansion to derive a formula for the α_n . This formula is used in Section 4 to control the growth of the α_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 5, we consider the diagrammatic estimates for the Lace expansion. Finally, in Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Borel summability and the spherical model

In light of Theorem 1.1, it is natural to ask if β_c can be recovered from the α_n by means of a Borel sum. Let B denote the Borel transform of the asymptotic expansion for β_c ; B is well defined (see Lemma 4.1) in a neighbourhood of zero by

$$B(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n / n!$$

We conjecture that B can be extended analytically to a neighbourhood of the positive real axis, and that $\beta_c(s)$ is equal to the Borel sum

$$\sum_{\text{Borel}} \alpha_n s^n := \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/s} B(t) dt.$$

There are two reasons for making this conjecture. Firstly, with $R > 0$, let $C_R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z^{-1} > R^{-1}\}$ denote the open disc in $\mathbb{C}$ with centre $R/2$ and diameter R [9, Figure 1]. Suppose that β_c can be extended to an analytic function on C_R such that (1.2) holds for all $s \in C_R$. Under this assumption,

the Borel sum is well defined in C_R and equal to β_c [9]. Unfortunately, it is not clear how to extend β_c to an analytic function on C_R . Interpreting the Borel sum remains an open problem.

Secondly, there is the case of the spherical model [4]. Physicists consider both the self-avoiding walk and the spherical model to be special cases of the n -vector model. The spherical model is an exactly solvable spin system with dependence between the spins. Let I_0 denote the 0th-order modified Bessel function of the first kind. The critical point is

$$K_c(d) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-g(x)d} dx, \quad g(x) = x - \log I_0(x).$$

Function g is monotonic, $g(0) = 0$ and $g(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let (a_n) denote the sequence with generating function g -inverse,

$$g^{-1}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n t^n.$$

Integration by parts yields an asymptotic expansion for $K_c(d)$ in powers of $1/d$,

$$K_c(d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n n!}{d^n}.$$

We have said that very little is known rigorously about $1/d$ expansions. This is the exception that proves the rule. The radius of convergence is zero, but the expansion can be interpreted as a Borel sum with Borel transform $g^{-1}/2$.

Note that the (a_n) oscillate. The first 12 coefficients are positive, the next 8 are negative; the pattern of signs goes

12, 8, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, ...

This oscillation is related to the fact that g^{-1} has no poles on the positive real axis. The coefficients α_n for the self-avoiding walk also show a change of sign. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, 11$, α_i is positive; the remaining coefficients that are known, α_{12} and α_{13} , are negative [1].

3 The expansions $\beta_\tau = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n s^n$

The starting point in our analysis will be [6, (2.2)]. Let $\beta_\tau = 1/\mu_\tau$, and take $\beta_\infty = \beta_c$. When d is sufficiently large, for τ finite and infinite,

$$\beta_\tau = s(1 - \hat{\Pi}_{\beta_\tau}(0; \tau)). \quad (3.1)$$

The quantity $\hat{\Pi}_{\beta_\tau}(0; \tau)$ is the Fourier transform of the memory- τ Lace expansion at $k = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\beta = \beta_\tau$; essentially, it describes the difference between the generating functions of simple random walk and self-avoiding walk. The Lace expansion can be thought of as a series of inclusion/exclusion terms. A type- N lace graph is a simple walk with at least N points of self-intersection, and certain additional constraints. Let $\pi_a^{(N)}(x; \tau)$ count the number of memory- τ lace graphs from 0 to x of type N and length a . Then

$$\hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(k; \tau) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \pi_a^{(N)}(x; \tau) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad \hat{\Pi}_\beta^{(N)}(k; \tau) = \sum_{a=N+1}^\infty \hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(k; \tau) \beta^a,$$

and finally
$$\hat{\Pi}_\beta(k; \tau) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} (-1)^N \hat{\Pi}_\beta^{(N)}(k; \tau).$$

The definition of a lace graph respects the symmetries of the underlying lattice. There are $2^d d!$ ways of choosing an ordered orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^d$ from the set $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Each lace graph in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with dimensionality D is equivalent to $2d(2d-2) \dots (2d-2D+2)$ other lace graphs under the action of this group of symmetries.

Let $f_\tau(a, N, D)$ count the number of equivalence classes of memory- τ lace graphs in $\mathbb{Z}^D$ with length a , type N and dimensionality D . Lace graphs with dimensionality D have length at least $2D$. Therefore, we can write the number of memory- τ lace graphs of length a and type N in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as a polynomial in powers of $s^{-1} = 2d$,

$$\sum_{D=1}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} f_\tau(a, N, D) 2d(2d-2) \dots (2d-2D+2) = \sum_{b=\lceil a/2 \rceil}^{a-1} c_{a,b,N} s^{b-a}.$$

Let $I = \{(a, b) : b = 1, 2, \dots; a = b+1, \dots, 2b\}$ and set

$$c_{a,b} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{N+1} c_{a,b,N}, \quad (a, b) \in I.$$

The $c_{a,b}$ depend implicitly on τ , but $c_{a,b}$ is fixed once $\tau \geq a$. Using this notation to rewrite (3.1) yields a formal power series,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\tau &= s \left[1 + \sum_{(a,b) \in I} \beta_\tau^a c_{a,b} s^{b-a} \right] \\ &= s [1 + \beta_\tau^2 c_{2,1} s^{-1} + \beta_\tau^3 c_{3,2} s^{-1} + \beta_\tau^4 (c_{4,3} s^{-1} + c_{4,2} s^{-2}) + \dots] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Plugging “ $\beta_\tau = 0$ ” into the right hand side gives “ $\beta_\tau = s$ ”. Taking “ $\beta_\tau = s$ ” and plugging it back into the right hand side then gives “ $\beta_\tau = s + c_{2,1} s^2 + (c_{3,2} + c_{4,2}) s^3 + \dots$ ”; iterating in this way yields a series expansion for β_τ :

$$\beta_\tau = s + c_{2,1} s^2 + (2c_{2,1}^2 + c_{3,2} + c_{4,2}) s^3 + \dots$$

The coefficient α_n of s^n in β_τ is thus a multinomial function of the $c_{a,b}$.

Lemma 3.3. *With $S_n := \{(n_{a,b}) \in \mathbb{N}^I : n = 1 + \sum_I b n_{a,b}\}$,*

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{(n_{a,b}) \in S_n} \frac{[\sum_I a n_{a,b}]!}{[\prod_I n_{a,b}]! [1 + \sum_I (a-1) n_{a,b}]!} \prod_I c_{a,b}^{n_{a,b}}.$$

It is a corollary of Lemma 3.3 that α_n only depends on τ if $\tau < 2n-2$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $\phi(\beta) = 1 + \sum_I c_{a,b} \beta^a s^{b-a}$. Setting $\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n s^n$, (3.2) becomes

$$\frac{\beta}{\phi(\beta)} = s.$$

We will use the notation $[\beta^n] \phi(\beta)$ to denote the coefficient of β^n from generating function $\phi(\beta)$. The Lagrange–Bürmann series reversion formula states that,

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k} [\beta^{k-1}] \phi(\beta)^k.$$

Applying the formula yields,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n s^n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k} [\beta^{k-1}] \left(1 + \sum_I c_{a,b} \beta^a s^{b-a} \right)^k$$

and so with $T_k := \{(n_{a,b}) \in \mathbb{N}^I : k-1 = \sum_I a n_{a,b}\}$,

$$\alpha_n = [s^n] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k} \sum_{(n_{a,b}) \in T_k} \frac{k!}{(k - \sum_I n_{a,b})! \prod_I [n_{a,b}]!} \prod_I [c_{a,b} s^{b-a}]^{n_{a,b}}.$$

Extracting the coefficient of s^n leaves only the terms with $n = k + \sum_I (b-a)n_{a,b}$. By the definition of T_k , these are the terms with $(n_{a,b}) \in S_n$. $\square$

4 Factorial bounds on (α_n)

We can use Lemma 3.3 to bound the coefficients (α_n) of the asymptotic expansion.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a constant C_2 such that for all n , $|\alpha_n| \leq C_2^n n!$*

This is achieved by bounding $|c_{a,b}|$ in terms of b .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $c_b = \sum_{a=b+1}^{2b} |c_{a,b}|$. There is a constant C_3 such that $c_b \leq C_3^b b!$*

Proof. The numbers $(c_{a,b})$ are defined in terms of lace graphs with length a ,

$$|c_{a,b}| \leq \sum_{D=a-b}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} f_{\tau}(a, N, D) \times \left| [s^{b-a}] s^{-1} (s^{-1} - 2) \dots (s^{-1} - 2D + 2) \right|.$$

The number of lace graphs of length a in $\mathbb{Z}^D$ is at most $(2D)^a$, so

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} f_{\tau}(a, N, D) \leq \frac{(2D)^a}{2^D D!}.$$

The absolute value of $[s^{b-a}] s^{-1} (s^{-1} - 2) \dots (s^{-1} - 2D + 2)$ is at most

$$[s^{b-a}] (s^{-1} + 2D)^D = (2D)^{D+b-a} \binom{D}{a-b}, \quad D = a-b, \dots, \lfloor a/2 \rfloor.$$

The result follow by Stirling's formula: for some constant C_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=b+1}^{2b} |c_{a,b}| &\leq \sum_{a=b+1}^{2b} \frac{2^b}{(a-b)!} \sum_{D=a-b}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \frac{D^{D+b}}{(D+b-a)!} \\ &\leq \sum_{a=b+1}^{2b} \frac{2^b}{(a-b)!} (1 + \lfloor a/2 \rfloor - (a-b)) \frac{b^{2b}}{(2b-a)!} \leq C_3^b b! \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Before we can prove Lemma 4.1, we need a bound on how a power series with factorial coefficients behaves under exponentiation.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\phi(\beta) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! \beta^k$. Then

$$[\beta^k] \phi(\beta)^n \leq k! \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + (n-1)/j^2) \leq 6^n k!$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $\psi(\beta) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k! \beta^k$. Comparing $\psi(\beta)$ with $\beta \phi(2\beta)$ gives

$$[\beta^k] \psi(\beta)^n \leq 6^k (k-n)!$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $l_1, \dots, l_n$ denote non-negative integers. The first inequality is equivalent to,

$$\sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_n = k} \prod_{i=1}^n l_i! \leq k! \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + (n-1)/j^2). \quad (4.5)$$

We will show this by induction in k . The second inequality is a simple consequence of the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/j^2 = \pi^2/6 < \log 6$.

For convenience, (4.5) can be written in terms of a multinomial random variable $X^k \equiv (X_1^k, \dots, X_n^k) \sim \text{Multinomial}(k; 1/n, \dots, 1/n)$:

$$n^k \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\binom{k}{X^k} \right)^{-2} \right] \leq \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + (n-1)/j^2), \quad \binom{k}{X^k} = \frac{k!}{X_1^k! \dots X_n^k!}.$$

For the inductive step, we construct X^{k+1} from X^k by adding 1 to one of $X_1^k, \dots, X_n^k$ uniformly at random. Let $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$, and so on. The inductive step is then,

$$\begin{aligned} n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\binom{k+1}{X^{k+1}} \right)^{-2} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\binom{k+1}{X^k + e_i} \right)^{-2} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k+1}{X_i^k + 1} \right)^{-2} \left(\binom{k}{X^k} \right)^{-2} \\ &\leq \frac{(k+1)^2 + (n-1)}{(k+1)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\binom{k}{X^k} \right)^{-2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality in the last step is the result of replacing $\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k+1}{X_i^k + 1} \right)^{-2}$ with its supremum over the range of X^k . $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. For $(a, b) \in I$, $a \leq 2b$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_n| &\leq \sum_{(n_{a,b}) \in S_n} \frac{(\sum a n_{a,b})!}{\prod n_{a,b}! (1 + \sum (a-1) n_{a,b})!} \prod |c_{a,b}|^{n_{a,b}} \\ &\leq \sum_{(n_{a,b}) \in S_n} \frac{(2n-2)!}{\prod n_{a,b}! (2n-1 - \sum n_{a,b})!} \prod |c_{a,b}|^{n_{a,b}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2n-1} [\beta^{n-1}] \left(1 + \sum_b c_b \beta^b \right)^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, $|\alpha_n| \leq 6^{2n} C_3^n n!$ $\square$

5 Diagrammatic estimates

There is a number C_{HS} [6] such that for d sufficiently large, for all τ ,

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\beta_\tau}^{(N)}(0; \tau) \leq (sC_{\text{HS}})^N. \quad (5.1)$$

This is a consequence of the diagrammatic estimates [8, Theorem 4.1] for the number of type- N lace graphs. The estimates can also be used to bound above the number of lace graphs according to length.

Lemma 5.2. *There is a constant C_4 such that,*

$$\hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(0; \tau) \leq [\beta^a] \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau/2} C_4^n s^{-n} n! \beta^{2n} (1 + s/\beta) \right)^N.$$

Proof. The loops of a memory- τ lace graphs have length at most τ . Define a generating function by

$$F_\beta^\tau = \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} \beta^k \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} k c_k^{(0)}(x),$$

where $c_k^{(0)}(x)$ denotes the number of self-avoiding walks from 0 to x of length k . The diagrammatic estimates, see for example [8, Theorem 4.1], imply that

$$\hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(0; \tau) \leq [\beta^a] (F_\beta^\tau)^N.$$

Let $C_4 = 20$. The result follows when we show that for $k = 1, \dots, \tau$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} k c_k^{(0)}(x) \leq [\beta^k] \sum_{n=1}^{\tau/2} C_4^n s^{-n} n! \beta^{2n} (1 + s/\beta).$$

When k is even, $c_k^{(0)}(x)$ is maximized by $x = 0$. First suppose $k = 2m \leq 2d$. The number of simple walks from 0 to 0 in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of length $2m$ is less than the number of $2m$ step walks that are restricted to an m dimensional subspace [5]:

$$c_{2m}^{(0)}(0) \leq \binom{d}{m} (2m)^{2m} \leq \frac{d^m}{m!} (2m)^{2m}.$$

If $k = 2m > 2d$, $c_{2m}^{(0)}(x) \leq (2d)^{2m}$. By Stirling's formula,

$$\forall m, \quad (2m) c_{2m}^{(0)}(0) \leq C_4^m s^{-m} m!$$

When k is odd, $c_k^{(0)}(x)$ is maximized by $x = e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and

$$c_{2m-1}^{(0)}(e_1) = c_{2m}^{(0)}(0)/(2d).$$

□

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is well known that $d \leq \mu \leq 2d$, and hence that $s \leq \beta_c \leq 2s$. Let $C_5 \in [0, C_2^{-1}]$ and suppose that $C_1 \geq 10C_2/C_5$. Then for $s \geq C_5/M$, inequality (1.2) holds simply by Lemma 4.1. We will show by induction that for some constants C_5 and C_6 , for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $s \leq C_5/k$,

$$\beta_c = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \alpha_n s^n + E_k s^k \text{ with } |E_k| \leq C_6^k k! \quad (6.1)$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from (6.1) by taking $C_1 = \max\{C_6, 10C_2/C_5\}$.

To begin the induction process, notice that $E_1 = \beta_c/s \in [0, 2]$, so (6.1) holds for $k = 1$ if $C_6 \geq 2$. To keep the remainder of the proof simple, we will now assume that $C_6 \gg 1$, so we can take it for granted that $C_6 \geq 2$. We will also assume that $C_5 \ll C_6^{-3} \ll 1$.

Assume inductively that (6.1) holds for $k = 1, \dots, M$. Let $s \leq C_5/(M+1)$. We need to show that

$$|E_{M+1}| \leq C_6^{M+1}(M+1)!$$

We will define $(A_i)_{i=1}^4$ such that

$$E_{M+1}s^M = \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i, \quad |A_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}s^M C_6^{M+1}(M+1)!$$

We will use the Lace expansion. A type- N memory- τ lace graph has length at most $N\tau$, so it is easier to use the finite memory version of the Lace expansion. If the memory τ is high enough, we can use $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^M$ to refer to the first M coefficients of the series expansions for both β_c and β_τ . Let $\tau = 2M$. By [7, Theorem 1], there is a constant C_K such that

$$\forall s \leq 1/(52M), \quad 0 \leq \beta_c - \beta_\tau \leq s^{M+2} C_K^M M!$$

Let $A_1 = \beta_c - \beta_\tau$, and let $E_M^\tau = E_M - A_1$ so

$$\beta_\tau = \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} \alpha_n s^n + E_M^\tau s^M. \quad (6.2)$$

By (3.1), we must now choose A_2, A_3, A_4 such that

$$A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + \sum_{n=1}^M \alpha_n s^{n-1} = 1 - \hat{\Pi}_{\beta_\tau}(0; \tau). \quad (6.3)$$

With reference to the bound (5.1), let

$$A_2 = - \sum_{N=M+1}^{\infty} (-1)^N \hat{\Pi}_{\beta_\tau}^{(N)}(0; \tau).$$

Let A_3 match the terms generated on the right hand side of (6.3) by lace graphs of length $a \geq 2M$ and type $N \leq M$,

$$A_3 = - \sum_{N=1}^M (-1)^N \sum_{a=2M}^{2MN} \hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(0; \tau) \beta_\tau^a.$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_3| &\leq \sum_{N=1}^M \sum_{a=2M}^{2MN} \beta_\tau^a [\beta^a] \left(\sum_{n=1}^M C_4^n s^{-n} n! \beta^{2n} (1 + s/\beta) \right)^N \\
&\leq \sum_{N=1}^M (1 + s/\beta_\tau)^N \sum_{a=2M}^{2MN} \beta_\tau^a [\beta^a] \left(\sum_{n=1}^M C_4^n s^{-n} n! \beta^{2n} \right)^N.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $x = \beta^2$, the right hand side above is equal to

$$\sum_{N=1}^M (1 + s/\beta_\tau)^N \sum_{a=M}^{MN} (C_4 M \beta_\tau^2 s^{-1})^a [x^a] \left(\sum_{n=1}^M n! x^n / M^n \right)^N.$$

We can assume that $(1 + s/\beta_\tau) C_4 M \beta_\tau^2 s^{-1} \leq C_6 e^{-1} M s \leq 1$. The above is less than

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N=1}^M \sum_{a=M}^{MN} (C_6 e^{-1} M s)^a [x^a] \left(\sum_{n=1}^M x^n n! / M^n \right)^N \\
&\leq (C_6 e^{-1} M s)^M \sum_{N=1}^M \left(\sum_{n=1}^M n! / M^n \right)^N \leq \frac{1}{4} s^M C_6^{M+1} (M+1)!
\end{aligned}$$

By the process of elimination, A_4 is now defined by

$$A_4 + \sum_{n=1}^M \alpha_n s^{n-1} = 1 - \sum_{N=1}^M (-1)^N \sum_{a=2}^{2M-1} \hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(0; \tau) \beta_\tau^a. \quad (6.4)$$

Substitute (6.2) into the right hand side of (6.4); by Lemma 3.3, we can cancel the powers of s below s^M :

$$A_4 = - \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} s^n [s^n] \sum_{N=1}^M (-1)^N \sum_{a=2}^{2M-1} \hat{\pi}_a^{(N)}(0; \tau) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{M-1} \alpha_n s^n + E_M^\tau s^M \right)^a.$$

Recall that $c_b := \sum_{a=b+1}^{2b} |c_{a,b}|$. As $\alpha_1 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_4| &\leq \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} s^n [s^n] \sum_{a=2}^{2M-1} \sum_{b=\lceil a/2 \rceil}^{a-1} |c_{a,b}| s^{b-a} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} |\alpha_k| s^k + |E_M^\tau| s^M \right)^a \\
&\leq \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} s^n [s^n] \sum_{b=1}^{2M-2} c_b s^{-b} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} |\alpha_k| s^k + |E_M^\tau| s^M \right)^{2b}.
\end{aligned}$$

The α_n are controlled by Lemma 4.1, and the c_b are controlled by Lemma 4.2. By the inductive assumption and the bound on $|A_1|$, we have $|E_M^\tau| \leq 2C_6^M M!$. Expand the $(\cdot)^{2b}$ terms above: we will split the resulting terms into three groups.

- (i) The terms $s^n c_b |\alpha_{i_1}| |\alpha_{i_2}| \cdots |\alpha_{i_{2b}}|$ with $M \leq n \leq 2M - 2$.

- (ii) The terms $s^n c_b \dots$ with $M \leq n \leq 2M-2$ that are not in group (i) because they contain an $|E_M^\tau|$ term.
- (iii) The terms $s^n c_b \dots$ with $n \geq 2M-1$.

By Lemma 4.1, the contribution from the first group is less than

$$\sum_{n=M}^{2M-2} s^n C_2^{n+1} (n+1)! \quad (6.5)$$

The contribution of the second group is at most

$$2C_6^M M! s^M \sum_{n=0}^{M-2} s^n [s^n] \sum_{b=1}^{n+1} C_3^b b! s^{-b} \times (2b) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} C_2^k k! s^k \right)^{2b-1}. \quad (6.6)$$

For $k = 1, \dots, M$, define $\hat{\alpha}_k$ by

$$\hat{\alpha}_k s^k = |\alpha_k| s^k + \dots + |\alpha_{M-1}| s^{M-1} + |E_M^\tau| s^M.$$

The contribution of the third group is at most

$$s^{2M-1} [x^{2M-1}] \sum_{b=1}^{2M-2} C_3^b b! x^{-b} \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \hat{\alpha}_k x^k \right)^{2b}. \quad (6.7)$$

By having the $\hat{\alpha}_k x^k$ in the $(\cdot)^{2b}$ term, we catch all the terms in the third group while extracting only the coefficient of x^{2M-1} . [For example if $M = 10$, the term $s^{28} c_2 \alpha_6 \alpha_7 \alpha_8 \alpha_9$ is accounted for by the term $s^{19} c_2 \hat{\alpha}_6 \hat{\alpha}_7 \hat{\alpha}_8 \hat{\alpha}_9 =$

$$s^{19} c_2 (|\alpha_6| + \dots) (|\alpha_7| + \dots) (\dots + |\alpha_8| s + \dots) (\dots + |\alpha_9| s^8 + \dots)$$

generated by (6.7).] We can assume that $\hat{\alpha}_k \leq (2C_6)^k k!$

The absolute value of A_4 is now bounded by the sum of three intimidating expressions, (6.5)–(6.7). However, under suitable conditions on C_5 and C_6 , they are quite easily seen to be small when compared to $\frac{1}{4} s^M C_6^{M+1} (M+1)!$ In the case of (6.5), $C_6 \gg C_2$ and $C_2 C_5 \ll 1$ is sufficient. Corollary 4.4 allows us to control (6.6) and (6.7). If $C_2^2 C_3 C_5 \ll 1$ and $C_6 \gg C_2 C_3$, (6.6) is small. If $C_3^2 C_5 C_6^3 \ll 1$, (6.7) is small. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Gordon Slade for many helpful discussions and the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris for financial support.

References

- [1] Nathan Clisby, Richard Liang, and Gordon Slade. Self-avoiding walk enumeration via the lace expansion. *J. Phys. A*, 40(36):10973–11017, 2007.
- [2] Michael E. Fisher and David S. Gaunt. Ising model and self-avoiding walks on hypercubical lattices and “high-density” expansions. *Phys. Rev.*, 133(1A):A224–A239, 1964.

- [3] D.S. Gaunt and Heather Ruskin. Bond percolation processes in d dimensions. *J. Phys. A*, 11:1369–1380, 1978.
- [4] Paul R. Gerber and Michael E. Fisher. Critical temperatures of classical n -vector models on hypercubic lattices. *J. Math. Phys.*, 10(11):4697–4703, 1974.
- [5] J. M. Hammersley. Long-chain polymers and self-avoiding random walks. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 25:29–38, 1963.
- [6] Takashi Hara and Gordon Slade. The self-avoiding-walk and percolation critical points in high dimensions. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 4(3):197–215, 1995.
- [7] H. Kesten. On the number of self-avoiding walks. II. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 5:1128–1137, 1964.
- [8] G. Slade. The lace expansion and its applications. <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~slade/>, June 2005.
- [9] Alan D. Sokal. An improvement of Watson’s theorem on Borel summability. *J. Math. Phys.*, 21(2):261–263, 1980.